|                      | ICT OF NEW YORK |                             |
|----------------------|-----------------|-----------------------------|
| AURELIUS CAPITAL MA  | ASTER, LTD.,    |                             |
|                      | Plaintiff,      |                             |
| -against-            |                 | 19 <b>CIVIL</b> 351 (LAP)   |
| THE REPUBLIC OF ARG  | ENTINA,         |                             |
|                      | Defendant.      |                             |
| NOVORIVER S.A.,      | X               |                             |
|                      | Plaintiff,      | 19 <b>CIVIL</b> 9786 (LAP)  |
| -against-            |                 | <b>JUDGMENT</b>             |
| ARGENTINE REPUBLIC,  |                 |                             |
|                      | Defendant.      |                             |
| ACP MASTER, LTD.,    | Λ               |                             |
|                      | Plaintiff,      |                             |
| -against-            |                 | 19 <b>CIVIL</b> 10109 (LAP) |
| THE REPUBLIC OF ARG  | ENTINA,         |                             |
|                      | Defendant.      |                             |
| 683 CAPITAL PARTNERS | X<br>S, LP,     |                             |
|                      | Plaintiff,      |                             |
| -against-            |                 | 19 <b>CIVIL</b> 10131 (LAP) |
| THE REPUBLIC OF ARG  | ENTINA,         |                             |
|                      | Defendant.      |                             |

|                        |             | v |                             |
|------------------------|-------------|---|-----------------------------|
| ADONA LLC, et al.,     |             | Λ |                             |
|                        | Plaintiffs, |   |                             |
| -against-              |             |   | 19 <b>CIVIL</b> 11338 (LAP) |
| THE REPUBLIC OF ARGI   | ENTINA,     |   |                             |
|                        | Defendant.  | V |                             |
| APE GROUP SPA, et al., |             | Λ |                             |
|                        | Plaintiffs, |   |                             |
| -against-              |             |   | 20 <b>CIVIL</b> 10409 (LAP) |
| THE REPUBLIC OF ARGI   | ENTINA,     |   |                             |
|                        | Defendant.  | V |                             |
|                        |             | X |                             |

It is, **ORDERED**, **ADJUDGED AND DECREED**: That for the reasons stated in the Court's Opinion & Order dated March 31, 2024, the Republic's motion for summary judgment [dkt. no. 132] is GRANTED, and Plaintiffs' cross-motion for summary judgment [dkt. no. 142] is DENIED. The Republic's letter motion for oral argument [dkt. no. 150] is DENIED as moot. Judgment is entered for the Republic; accordingly, the cases are closed.

**Dated:** New York, New York March 31, 2024

**RUBY J. KRAJICK** 

Clerk of Court

(A) Mango

Deputy Clerk